## Learning Automatic Schedulers through Projective Reparameterization

**Ajay Jain** Saman Amarasinghe





I. Discrete search spaces

 $\bullet$   $\bullet$ 

- I. Discrete search spaces
- 2. Large, combinatorial search spaces



- I. Discrete search spaces
- 2. Large, combinatorial search spaces
- 3. Highly constrained feasible sets



Challenging for supervised learning!

## Motivating application: Instruction Scheduling





# Motivating application: Instruction Scheduling

- List scheduling with heuristics
- Stochastic search & superoptimization
- Integer linear programming
- Reinforcement learning

Challenging for supervised learning!

Baseline: Sinkhorn iteration from ranking literature  $\rightarrow$  16% of schedules are invalid

## This work



- Introduce EPOCS operator
  - General approach for learning under dynamic constraints
- Formulate instruction scheduling as relaxed integer program
- Imitate GCC compiler instruction schedules

### Permutation matrix representation



Fixed constraints

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{P}_{ij} = 1$$
$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathbf{P}_{ij} = 1$$
$$\mathbf{P}_{ij} \in \{0, 1\} \quad \text{(relaxed: } \mathbf{P}_{ij} \ge 0\text{)}$$

ranking, scheduling, packet switching, matching... Input dependent partial order constraints

$$\left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{n} j\mathbf{P}_{jb} - \sum_{j=1}^{n} j\mathbf{P}_{ja} \ge 1 \text{ if } x_a \prec x_b \right\}$$





**Algorithm 1:** EPOCS provides a differentiable projective reparameterization of general dynamic constraints.





Correct the relaxation with matching (Hungarian algorithm)



 $M(\mathbf{\hat{P}}) = \max_{\mathbf{P}\in\mathcal{P}_n} \langle \mathbf{\hat{P}}, \mathbf{P} \rangle_H$ 

### Evaluation

#### Train POCSNet to imitate GCC 4.9.4 schedules

77,202 basic blocks from SPEC2006, SPEC2017 [Mendis et al 2019]



Evaluate data dependency violations, accuracy Baseline: Sinkhorn iteration



Imposing dynamic constraints reduces data dependency violations

#### Accuracy of schedules



	<b>Fixed</b> <b>constraints</b> Sinkhorn iteration	Dynamic constraints EPOCS/POPOCS
Accuracy	35.6%	<u>39.7%</u>
Kendall tau distance	0.238	<u>0.222</u>

Imposing constraints improves accuracy (+4%)

POCSNet schedule latencies are on par with GCC latencies



#### Shuffled input block



Matched permutation matrix





#### POCSNet scheduled block

## Takeaways



- EPOCS: General purpose op for dynamic constraints on NNs
- One application: Job scheduling problems
- A step toward correct-by-construction ML for Systems:

Enforce known constraints end-to-end for accuracy boost + guarantees

### Contact: ajayj@berkeley.edu



#### Shuffled input block



Matched permutation matrix





#### POCSNet scheduled block